

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARYES-1

1. PROJECT OVERVIEW 1-1

1.1 Purpose of DTOGS 1-1

1.2 Description of Project Area 1-3

 1.2.1 Initial Project Area 1-3

 1.2.2 Identification of Potential Corridors 1-3

1.3 Project Participants..... 1-5

 1.3.1 Technical Committee 1-5

 1.3.2 Policy and Stakeholder Committee..... 1-6

1.4 Summary of Local Plans 1-7

 1.4.1 Michigan Transportation Plan..... 1-7

 1.4.2 2030 Regional Transportation Plan for Southeast Michigan..... 1-8

 1.4.3 Improving Transit in Southeast Michigan: A Framework for Action..... 1-8

 1.4.4 City of Detroit Master Plan of Policies Revision.....1-10

1.5 Federal New Starts and NEPA Processes.....1-10

 1.5.1 Early Scoping Meetings1-10

 1.5.2 Scoping Decision1-12

 1.5.3 Identification of Locally Preferred Alternative.....1-13

1.6 Transportation Deficiencies1-13

1.7 Project Goals and Objectives1-15

 1.7.1 Transportation and Mobility.....1-15

 1.7.2 Economic Opportunity and Investment.....1-17

 1.7.3 Communities and Environment1-17

 1.7.4 Public Involvement1-18

2. PURPOSE AND NEED..... 2-1

2.1 Purpose and Need..... 2-1

Table of Contents

3.	PUBLIC AND AGENCY PARTICIPATION	3-1
3.1	Public Participation Process	3-1
3.2	Outreach Techniques	3-2
3.3	Committee Structure	3-2
3.4	Public Meetings.....	3-4
4.	DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES	4-1
4.1	Screen 1 – Fatal Flaw Analysis.....	4-2
4.2	Screen 2 – Detailed Evaluation.....	4-3
	4.2.1 First Level of Detailed Evaluation	4-4
	4.2.2 Second Level of Detailed Evaluation.....	4-4
4.3	Evaluation of Alternatives	4-6
5.	SCREEN 1 – FATAL FLAW ANALYSIS	5-1
5.1	Identification of Study Subsectors	5-1
5.2	Screen 1 Evaluation.....	5-3
5.3	Stakeholder Interviews	5-4
5.4	Public Input.....	5-7
5.5	Recommended Corridors for Screen 2 Evaluation	5-8
6.	SCREEN 2 – DETAILED EVALUATION.....	6-1
6.1	Level 1: Identify and Evaluate Rapid Transit Alignments	6-1
	6.1.1 Community Sentiment	6-3
6.2	Level 2: Prioitize Rapid Transit Alignments	6-5
6.3	Level 3: Evaluate Transit Technologies	6-5
	6.3.1 Transit Technologies Not Recommended.....	6-8
	6.3.2 Public Survey of Transit Technology Preferences	6-9
6.4	Recommended Alignments and Next Steps	6-10

Table of Contents

7.	DETAILED DEFINITION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	7-1
7.1	Introduction.....	7-1
	7.1.1 <i>Project Goals and Objectives</i>	7-1
	7.1.2 <i>Purpose and Need</i>	7-3
	7.1.3 <i>Corridor</i>	7-3
7.2	Existing Conditions.....	7-11
	7.2.1 <i>Gratiot Avenue</i>	7-11
	7.2.2 <i>Michigan Avenue</i>	7-19
	7.2.3 <i>Woodward Avenue</i>	7-28
7.3	No-Build Alternatives.....	7-35
	7.3.1 <i>Roadway Elements</i>	7-35
	7.3.2 <i>Transit Elements</i>	7-36
7.4	TSM Alternatives.....	7-44
	7.4.1 <i>Roadway Elements</i>	7-44
	7.4.2 <i>Transit Elements</i>	7-44
7.5	BRT Alternatives.....	7-52
	7.5.1 <i>Roadway Elements</i>	7-52
	7.5.2 <i>Transit Elements</i>	7-52
7.6	LRT Alternatives.....	7-60
	7.6.1 <i>Roadway Elements</i>	7-60
	7.6.2 <i>Transit Elements</i>	7-60
7.7	Downtown Alignments.....	7-68
8.	RIDERSHIP.....	8-1
8.1	Mode Choice Model Development.....	8-1
	8.1.1 <i>Level of Service Coefficients and Relationships</i>	8-6
	8.1.2 <i>Mode-Specific Constants</i>	8-8
8.2	Model Calibration.....	8-13
8.3	Future Year Ridership Forecasts.....	8-19
	8.3.1 <i>System Ridership</i>	8-20
	8.3.2 <i>Corridor Ridership</i>	8-21

Table of Contents

9.	EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	9-1
9.1	Transportation and Mobility	9-3
	9.1.1 Ridership	9-3
	9.1.2 Capital Cost.....	9-5
	9.1.3 Operating and Maintenance Cost	9-17
9.2	FTA New Starts Benchmarks	9-20
9.3	Economic Opportunity and Investment.....	9-22
	9.3.1 Redevelopment Potential.....	9-23
	9.3.2 TOD Potential at Transit Stations.....	9-32
	9.3.3 Year 2000 Employment Within One-Half Mile of Stations.....	9-40
	9.3.4 Year 2007 Population Within One-Half Mile of Stations (Social Compact).....	9-45
	9.3.5 Parking Impacts.....	9-51
9.4	Communities and Environment	9-54
	9.4.1 Transit-Dependent Population.....	9-54
	9.4.2 2030 Change in Daily Regional Vehicle Miles Traveled.....	9-59
	9.4.3 2030 Change in Annual CO ₂ Emissions	9-60
	9.4.4 Noise and Vibration.....	9-61
	9.4.5 Potential for Affecting Natural Environment.....	9-62
	9.4.6 Community Facilities.....	9-65
	9.4.7 Multimodal Connections.....	9-69
	9.4.8 Consistency with Plans	9-70
	9.4.9 Right-of-Way Impacts	9-71
9.5	Public Involvement	9-72
9.6	Summary of Evaluation Results.....	9-72
10.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	10-1
10.1	Transportation and Mobility	10-1
10.2	FTA New Starts Benchmarks	10-7
10.3	Economic Opportunity and Investment.....	10-7
10.4	Communities and Environment	10-8
10.5	Public Involvement	10-8
10.6	Recommendation	10-9

Table of Contents

11.	NEXT STEPS	11-1
11.1	Regional Actions.....	11-1
	11.1.1 SEMCOG RTP Amendment.....	11-1
	11.1.2 SEMCOG TIP Amendment.....	11-3
11.2	Local Actions.....	11-4
	11.2.1 Determine Transportation User Benefits.....	11-4
	11.2.2 Refine Downtown Concepts	11-4
	11.2.3 Refine TSM/Develop Baseline Alternative.....	11-4
	11.2.4 Develop Base Mapping and Utility Coordination.....	11-5
	11.2.5 Prepare Draft Before and After Study Documentation	11-5
	11.2.6 Continue NEPA Documentation.....	11-6
	11.2.7 Prepare Application to Enter PE	11-7
11.3	FTA Coordination	11-8

This page intentionally left blank.

List of Tables

Table ES-1	Screen 1 Evaluation Criteria	ES-17
Table ES-2	Screen 2 Evaluation Criteria	ES-18
Table ES-3	Evaluation Criteria	ES-26
Table ES-4	Summary of Cost Effectiveness Indices – BRT and LRT Alternatives.....	ES-27
Table 4-1	Screen 1 Evaluation Criteria	4-2
Table 4-2	Screen 2 Evaluation Criteria	4-4
Table 4-3	Evaluation Criteria	4-8
Table 5-1	Universe of Corridor Alternatives by Subsector.....	5-1
Table 5-2	Screen 1 Evaluation Criteria	5-3
Table 5-3	List of Stakeholder Interview Participants	5-5
Table 5-4	Corridor Preference as Surveyed on March 2007.....	5-7
Table 5-5	Screen 1 Fatal Flaw Analysis – Results	5-10
Table 6-1	Screen 2 Evaluation Criteria	6-2
Table 6-2	Screen 2 Results: Recommended Alignments	6-2
Table 6-3	Summary Characteristics of Recommended Transit Technologies	6-7
Table 6-4	Public Preferences – Transit Technologies	6-9

List of Tables

Table 7-1	SEMCOG 2006-2008 TIP Capacity Projects	7-36
Table 7-2	SEMCOG 2030 RTP Capacity Projects	7-37
Table 7-3	Proposed Weekday Hours and Frequencies of Service – No-Build Alternatives	7-43
Table 7-4	Current DDOT Fare Structure	7-43
Table 7-5	Gratiot/Woodward Stations – TSM, BRT, and LRT Alternatives.....	7-49
Table 7-6	Michigan/Woodward Stations – TSM, BRT, and LRT Alternatives.....	7-50
Table 7-7	Woodward Stations – TSM, BRT, and LRT Alternatives.....	7-50
Table 7-8	Proposed Peak Service Frequency – TSM Alternatives.....	7-51
Table 7-9	Estimated One-Way Travel Times – TSM Alternatives	7-51
Table 7-10	Proposed Peak Service Frequency – BRT Alternatives	7-59
Table 7-11	Estimated One-Way Travel Times – BRT Alternatives.....	7-60
Table 7-12	Proposed Peak Service Frequency – LRT Alternatives	7-67
Table 7-13	Estimated One-Way Travel Times – LRT Alternatives	7-67
Table 8-1	Mode Choice Level of Service Variables and Coefficients	8-6
Table 8-2	Transit Access Mode – Specific Constants by Purpose and Distance Interval.....	8-9
Table 8-3	Drive Access Mode – Specific Constants by Purpose and Time Period.....	8-10
Table 8-4	Equivalent Minutes of Benefit for Alternative Modes AA-DD Model	8-11
Table 8-5	Mode Specific Constants for New Modes AA-DD Model	8-11
Table 8-6	Equivalent Minutes of Benefit for Alternative Modes Hybrid Model.....	8-11
Table 8-7	Mode Specific Constants for New Modes Hybrid Model.....	8-11
Table 8-8	Observed Trips by Mode and Time of Day	8-15
Table 8-9	Estimated Trips by Mode and Time of Day	8-16

List of Tables

Table 8-10	DTOGS Corridor Ridership Summary	8-18
Table 8-11	System Ridership Summary	8-23
Table 8-12	Corridor Ridership Summary.....	8-23
Table 9-1	Evaluation Criteria and Key Performance Indicators	9-2
Table 9-2	Evaluation Results – Ridership Modeling	9-15
Table 9-3	Evaluation Results – Year 2007 Costs	9-15
Table 9-4	Cost Effectiveness Index – Build vs. TSM.....	9-21
Table 9-5	FTA Land Use Rating Categories.....	9-24
Table 9-6	Redevelopment Potential by Alignment Alternative	9-26
Table 9-7	TOD Potential by Alternative and by Station.....	9-36
Table 9-8	Gratiot Avenue Alignment Station Areas - Land Use Data and Trends	9-37
Table 9-9	Michigan Avenue Alignment Station Areas - Land Use Data and Trends	9-38
Table 9-10	Woodward Avenue Alignment Station Areas - Land Use Data and Trends	9-39
Table 9-11	Year 2000 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Gratiot Alternative	9-42
Table 9-12	Year 2000 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Michigan Alignment	9-43
Table 9-13	Year 2000 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Woodward Alignment	9-44
Table 9-14	Year 2007 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Gratiot Alternative	9-48
Table 9-15	Year 2007 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Michigan Alternative.....	9-49

List of Tables

Table 9-16	Year 2007 Employment within One-Half Mile of Stations – Woodward Alternative	9-50
Table 9-17	Estimated Parking Impacts – Gratiot Alternatives.....	9-53
Table 9-18	Estimated Parking Impacts – Michigan Alternatives.....	9-53
Table 9-19	Estimated Parking Impacts – Woodward Alternatives	9-53
Table 9-20	2000 Estimated Transit-Dependent Population within One-Half Mile of Station – Gratiot Alignment.....	9-56
Table 9-21	2000 Estimated Transit-Dependent Population within One-Half Mile of Station – Michigan Alignment.....	9-57
Table 9-22	2000 Estimated Transit-Dependent Population within One-Half Mile of Station – Woodward Alignment	9-58
Table 9-23	2030 Change in VMT – Gratiot Alternatives	9-59
Table 9-24	2030 Change in VMT – Michigan Alternatives	9-60
Table 9-25	2030 Change in VMT – Woodward Alternatives	9-60
Table 9-26	2030 Change in Annual CO ₂ Emissions – Build Alternatives	9-61
Table 9-27	2000 Population within 100 Feet of Alignment – TSM and Build Alternatives	9-62
Table 9-28	Cultural Resources Adjacent to TSM/Build Alignments	9-64
Table 9-29	Community Facilities within One-Half Mile of Stations	9-68
Table 9-30	Number of Potential Modal Transfers by Alignment.....	9-69
Table 9-31	Comparative Summary of Planning and Zoning Guidance.....	9-71
Table 9-32	Summary of Evaluation of Alternatives	9-73
Table 11-1	SEMCOG RTP Amendment Schedule.....	11-2
Table 11-2	SEMCOG TIP Amendment Schedule.....	11-3

List of Figures

Figure ES-1	DTOGS Project Area.....	ES-2
Figure ES-2	SEMCOG Transit System Plan.....	ES-3
Figure ES-3	FTA New Starts Project Development Process	ES-5
Figure ES-4	Woodward Avenue Corridor	ES-10
Figure ES-5	Results of Screen 1 – Fatal Flaw Analysis	ES-16
Figure ES-6	Recommended Alternative for Gratiot Avenue	ES-21
Figure ES-7	Recommended Alternative for Michigan Avenue	ES-22
Figure ES-8	Recommended Alternative for Woodward Avenue	ES-23
Figure ES-9	Downtown Detroit Alignment Concept A.....	ES-29
Figure ES-10	Downtown Detroit Alignment Concept B.....	ES-30
Figure ES-11	Downtown Detroit Alignment Concept C.....	ES-31
Figure ES 12	Gratiot Avenue Alignment – Select Evaluation Results	ES-32
Figure ES-13	Michigan Avenue Alignment – Select Evaluation Results	ES-33
Figure ES-14	Woodward Avenue Alignment – Select Evaluation Results	ES-34
Figure ES-15	DTOGS Locally Preferred Alternative – Light Rail on Woodward Avenue	ES-35
Figure 1-1	DTOGS Project Area.....	1-2
Figure 1-2	DTOGS Universe of Alternatives.....	1-4
Figure 1-3	SEMCOG Transit System Plan.....	1-9
Figure 1-4	FTA New Starts Project Development Process	1-11
Figure 1-5	DTOGS Project Timeline	1-14
Figure 1-6	Congested Areas in Southeastern Michigan	1-16

List of Figures

Figure 5-1	Corridors Recommended for Screen 2 Evaluation	5-2
Figure 6-1	Results of Build Your Own Rapid Transit Alignment Exercise.....	6-4
Figure 7-1	Recommended Alternatives for Gratiot Avenue.....	7-7
Figure 7-2	Recommended Alternatives for Michigan Avenue.....	7-8
Figure 7-3	Recommended Alternatives for Woodward Avenue	7-9
Figure 7-4	Proposed Service Plan for Gratiot Avenue No-Build Alternative	7-39
Figure 7-5	Proposed Service Plan for Michigan Avenue No-Build Alternative	7-40
Figure 7-6	Proposed Service Plan for Woodward Avenue No-Build Alternative.....	7-41
Figure 7-7	Proposed Service Plan for Gratiot Avenue TSM Alternative.....	7-45
Figure 7-8	Proposed Service Plan for Michigan Avenue TSM Alternative.....	7-46
Figure 7-9	Proposed Service Plan for Woodward Avenue TSM Alternative	7-47
Figure 7-10	Proposed Service Plan for Gratiot Avenue BRT Alternative	7-55
Figure 7-11	Proposed Service Plan for Michigan Avenue BRT Alternative	7-56
Figure 7-12	Proposed Service Plan for Woodward Avenue BRT Alternative.....	7-57
Figure 7-13	Proposed Service Plan for Gratiot Avenue LRT Alternative	7-63
Figure 7-14	Proposed Service Plan for Michigan Avenue LRT Alternative	7-64
Figure 7-15	Proposed Service Plan for Woodward Avenue LRT Alternative	7-65
Figure 7-16	Downtown Concept A.....	7-73
Figure 7-17	Downtown Concept B	7-74
Figure 7-18	Downtown Concept C.....	7-75



List of Figures

Figure 9-1	Gratiot Avenue Alternatives	9-3
Figure 9-2	Michigan Avenue Alternatives	9-4
Figure 9-3	Woodward Avenue Alternatives	9-5
Figure 9-4	Locally Preferred Alternative: 2030 Daily Roundtrips for Woodward LRT	9-11

This page intentionally left blank.

List of Appendices

All of the appendices are included on the attached CD.

Appendix A	Stakeholder Interview Summary
Appendix B	Summary of March 2007 Open House
Appendix C	Scoping Summary Report
Appendix D	Summary of March 2008 Open House
Appendix E	Summary of Recommendations: Screen 2 Evaluation
Appendix F	Transit Technologies Report
Appendix G	Land Use and Economic Impact of the Gratiot, Michigan, and Woodward Alignments
Appendix H	Operating Plan
Appendix I	Ridership Forecast Methodology
Appendix J	BRT and LRT Design Guidelines
Appendix K:	BRT and LRT Concept Plans and Typical Sections
Appendix L	Capital Cost Methodology and Results
Appendix M	Cost Effectiveness Index Calculations – Methodology and Results
Appendix N	Detailed Parking Analysis of LRT on Woodward Avenue
Appendix O	Woodward LRT Station Design

This page intentionally left blank.